UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/559,836	12/08/2005	Ivon Franciscus Helwegen	FR 030063	9606
24737 7590 06/30/2008 PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS P.O. BOX 3001 PRIADCLIFE MANOR NY 10510			EXAMINER	
			TRAN, THANG V	
BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2627	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/30/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/559,836	HELWEGEN ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Thang V. Tran	2627
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tinwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 D</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This 3)☐ Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under <u>B</u>	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are pending in the applicat 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or application Papers	wn from consideration.	
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 December 2005</u> is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Expression	are: a) \square accepted or b) \square object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Set tion is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate

Application/Control Number: 10/559,836 Page 2

Art Unit: 2627

Abstract

1. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Sasaki et al. (US 7,095,693) cited by Applicant.

Regarding claim 1 and 2, see Figs. 1-7 of Sasaki et al which describe an method/apparatus (see Fig. 1 and 2 as example) for reading an optical recording medium (3) on

which information is recorded on at least one track (see Fig. 1), the method/apparatus being of the type comprising:

means (pick-up 6) for performing a step of producing/generating from a light source front, main and rear beams directed onto the recorded track (see beams SP0, SP1 and SP-0 in Fig. 1);

means (14) for performing a step of scanning (by moving head 6) with said main beam the recorded track;

means (see Fig. 2) for producing respective first, second and third signals in response to light reflected by the recorded track when scanned by the front, main and rear beams;

means (10) for generating position control signals from the first and third signals (see TE and FE signal generated by circuit 10 in Fig. 1);

means (servo circuit 5 in Fig 2) for performing a step of controlling the position of the main beam with respect to the recorded track in response to the position control signals;

means (9-10 in Fig. 2) for performing a step of reading the recorded information by means of a processing operator of the second signal (see circuit 10 in Fig. also);

the method/apparatus being further characterized in that it also comprises:

means (6, 7) for performing a step of scanning in advance, with the front beam, the portion of recorded track later scanned, after a predetermined delay, by the main beam (beams SP0, SP1 and SP-0 in Fig. 1);

means (see circuit 8-13 in Fig. 1) for performing a step of cancelling, on the basis of signals generated in response to the occurrence of possible defects detected by the front beam on the portion of recorded track, the effects of the variations of the first and third signals,

subsequent to variations of reflected light caused by the defects, by means (circuits 8-13) of a modification of the position control signals generated for controlling the position of the main beam.

4. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sakamoto et al. (US 6,510,112) cited by Applicant.

Regarding claim 1 and 2, see Figs 1-17 of Sakamoto et al which describe an method/apparatus (see Fig. 1 or 15 as example) for reading an optical recording medium (1) on which information is recorded on at least one track (see Fig. 2), the method/apparatus being of the type comprising:

means (pick-up 2) for performing a step of producing/generating from a light source front, main and rear beams directed onto the recorded track (see column 6, lines 36-46);

means (carriage 3) for performing a step of scanning with said main beam the recorded track;

means (see Fig. 2) for producing respective first, second and third signals in response to light reflected by the recorded track when scanned by the front, main and rear beams;

means (55) for generating position control signals from the first and third signals;

means (9-13) for performing a step of controlling the position of the main beam with respect to the recorded track in response to the position control signals;

means (2, 5-8) for performing a step of reading the recorded information by means of a processing operator of the second signal;

the method/apparatus being further characterized in that it also comprises:

means (2, 3) for performing a step of scanning in advance, with the front beam, the portion of recorded track later scanned, after a predetermined delay, by the main beam (see the

leading beam of the subsidiary beams shown in Fig. 2);

means (see block 9, 10, 14 in Fig. 1 or 15 and or see its details in Fig. 2) for performing

a step of cancelling, on the basis of signals generated in response to the occurrence of possible

defects detected by the front beam on the portion of recorded track, the effects of the variations

(horn-shaped component) of the first and third signals, subsequent to variations of reflected light

caused by the defects, by means (14, 20, 30) of a modification of the position control signals

generated for controlling the position of the main beam.

Cited References

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure. The cited reference related to an optical device having at least three light beams

scanned on an optical recording medium, and where the leading beam of the at least three beams

is used for detecting a presence of a defect in the optical recording medium.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Thang V. Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-7595. The

examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00AM-5:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Joseph Feild can be reached on (571) 272-4090. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/559,836 Page 6

Art Unit: 2627

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Thang V. Tran/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 2627